

Date: Mon, 25 Oct 93 04:30:09 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #392  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Mon, 25 Oct 93                      Volume 93 : Issue    392

Today's Topics:

   14.313 MHz  
   curses!  
   DON"T End It All Now, Please ...  
   End-It All Now, Please... (2 msgs)  
   Enough of the debate.  
   Getting my license. Do I want to be like this?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----

Date: Mon, 25 Oct 93 01:52:35 CDT  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!  
menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: 14.313 MHz  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

oo7@emx.cc.utexas.edu (Derek Wills) writes:

[much useful stuff deleted]

>

>        There - I managed to include something about 14.313, DX lists, CW,  
>        multiple choice questions and some spelling flames, that ought to  
>        be enough.

AWWWWWW....

--Robert

-----  
Date: 25 Oct 1993 00:56:10 -0500  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!cs.utexas.edu!  
gerald@cc.utexas.edu!emx.cc.utexas.edu!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: curses!  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman NH6IL) retaliates:

>Your spelling is fine, BUT, I see an over usage of the word 'there' -  
>eight times in two paragraphs! Three occure [SIC - ha!] in the first  
>sentence of the second paragraph! And shouldn't 'Now again' be 'Now  
>and then'?

I did that to see if you'd notice :-) Yes, "Now again" should  
have been "Now and again" or "Now and then", I admit it.

You probably mean "overusage" or "an overuse" rather than "an over  
usage", heh heh.

>Usually I check my spelling VERY carefully since I have an .edu account  
>(and I myself will flame no-spellers), but today I am feeling a little [sic].

>Jeff NH6ILL (ha ha ha - ILL - get it? ILL = [sic] - oh, never mind)

Groan. Kindly leave the stage!

Derek "hoist with his own thingy" Wills (AA5BT, G3NMX)  
Department of Astronomy, University of Texas,  
Austin TX 78712. (512-471-1392)  
oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu

-----  
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 93 12:48:04 CDT  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!  
swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: DON'T End It All Now, Please ...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

> And there are nothing but amateurs (as opposed to professionals) on 1750.  
> 1750 would provide one the chance to build a transmitter - parts and  
> layout are not critical at that low of frequency, and almost all of today's

> shortwave receivers cover that region. It would give someone the chance  
> to carry out some interesting experimentation (especially trying to overcome  
> the severe antenna+feedline restriction).

I believe they call them "Lowfers" (I was a member of the Longwave Club of America many years ago, and that's how I know of them). You're right, most ARE Amateurs. In fact, I think they hold a net on 160 meters in order to coordinate contacts, exchange signal reports, and such.

Speaking of 160, the head guru of the National Amateur Radio Association suggested a while back giving Codeless Techs CW-only privileges on a portion of 160, with a five watt limitation. I wonder if he is aware of 1750 meters...

--Robert

-----  
Date: 25 Oct 1993 06:26:03 GMT  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!  
moe.ksu.ksu.edu!crcnis1.unl.edu!unlinfo.unl.edu!mcduffie@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: End-It All Now, Please ...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

root@jackatak.raider.net (Jack GF Hill) writes:

>dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV) writes:

>>

>> Again, I have a friend who CAN NOT distinguish between a dit and a dah  
>> at character speeds above maybe 6 or 7 wpm. To his ear it is all the  
>> same.

>Hmmm. If I may be bold as to try shedding just a bit of light on this:  
>your friend does have a problem, but I do not think hearing the  
>difference between a dit and dah is the basis. To learn the code, one  
>can not accomplish this, without grave difficulty at speeds above 5  
>wpm, by remembering the Morse characters as combinations of so many  
>dit and so many dahs...

Sorry, even as an anti-nocoder, I must admit the gentleman is correct. There really are people who cannot tell the difference between dit and dah. They also cannot tell that there is a difference between the sounds of "opposites" like F and Q. I have tested a person with this problem and was amazed at just how deep it goes. Such a person cannot hear that there is a difference between A and N. Sometimes a difference was detected but they couldn't say what that difference was, at any speed.

That person    J U S T    C A N ' T    D O    I T !

73,

Gary McDuffie, Sr.               //               ---o-----\./-----o---  
Scottsbluff, Nebraska   \\ //       ag0n@unl.edu   ---o-----/|\-----o---  
AG0N@AG0N.#WNE.NE.USA.NA \X/   -----|

-----  
Date: 24 Oct 93 20:57:53 GMT  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!  
news-feed-2.peachnet.edu!concert!duke!wolves!psybbs!fredmail@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: End-It All Now, Please...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

on <Oct 18 15:34>, Mr. Nice Guy to All said:

MNG> But 5 words a minute should be enough. Why require higher  
MNG> speeds, after all a ham who knows Morris at 5 words per

Who is Morris? Is he some ham in your area?   :-)

MNG> Right now 5 wpm only gives 10 meters phone, dead until the  
MNG> sunspots come back, and limited cw bands on lower  
MNG> frequencies, not enough to make it worth the bother.

I don't know about that, Rod. I was just thinking earlier today  
that the best time I ever had in ham radio was the first 6 months  
I was licensed. I was a Tech+, and spent the whole time working  
DX, mostly on 15 meters. What a \*great\* band 15 is!

In addition to the DX, I had some \*great\* long conversations ...  
like with GW0NZN ... We met a couple of times on 15 and again later  
on 10 meter CW, and chatted for a long time.

BTW, I didn't study at all for 20 wpm; all I did was use CW (after  
finding out that it can really be fun.) A friend kept nagging me to  
go for my General, so I gave it a shot--and "accidentally" passed 20 wpm,  
without any code study at all, just 6 months of fun.

MNG> government rcanders@nyx.cs.du.edu

Where are you, btw? The "du" in your domain isn't Duke U., is it?

73 de Ken, AC4RD, in Raleigh, NC.

-----  
Date: 24 Oct 93 21:04:38 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!news-feed-2.peachnet.edu!concert!duke!wolves!psybbs!fredmail@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Enough of the debate.  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

on <Oct 18 19:22>, Richard McAllister to All said:

RM> Right now we have the situation where a tech like myself must go  
RM> from 0 to 13WPM in order to do any interesting HF work (the  
RM> Novice HF privileges are uninteresting to me.)

In line with my previous message: Perhaps you just haven't given them a fair chance, Rich. If you have an HF rig, why not tune up on 15 and see who's calling CQ? You might just find a world of fun!

73 de AC4RD, Ken, in Raleigh, NC.

-----  
Date: 25 Oct 93 06:22:42 GMT  
From: munnari.oz.au!metro!news.ci.com.au!eram!dave@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Getting my license. Do I want to be like this?  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <uyoTBc1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com>,  
robert@amanda.jpunix.com (Robert) writes:

| Of course, \*I\* have QSY'd away from Two Meters, specifically because of

Of course, the rest of us would say "I have \*moved\* away from 2m", since we aren't using Maurice Code here...

--  
Dave Horsfall (VK2KFU)      VK2KFU @ VK2RWI.NSW.AUS.OC      PGP 2.3  
dave@esi.COM.AU            ...munari!esi.COM.AU!dave      available

-----  
End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #392  
\*\*\*\*\*